SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK & MILLER PSC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

May 29, 2012

RECEIVED

Via Federal Express

MAY 30 2012

Jesse T. Mountjoy
Frank Stainback
James M. Miller
Michael A. Fiorella
Allen W. Holbrook
R. Michael Sullivan
Bryan R. Reynolds
Tyson A. Kamuf
Mark W. Starnes
C. Ellsworth Mountjoy
Mary L. Moorhouse

Ronald M. Sullivan

Jeff DeRouen
Executive Director
Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Approval of its 2012 Environmental

Compliance Plan, for Approval of its Amended Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge Tariff, for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, and for

Authority to Establish a Regulatory Account,

P.S.C. Case No. 2012-00063

Dear Mr. DeRouen:

Enclosed on behalf of Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") is a CD containing models and work sheets from Sargent & Lundy. The CD is being filed under a petition for confidential treatment. An original and ten copies of the petition for confidential treatment are also enclosed. The CD is being provided in response to a letter from counsel for intervenor Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc. to counsel for Big Rivers dated May 11, 2012, requesting this information. A copy of this letter and a copy of the petition have been served on each person on the attached service list. A copy of the CD is also being provided to each party pursuant to the confidentiality agreements each party has signed. Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Tyson Kamuf

Bloc

TAK/ej Enclosures

cc:

Telephone (270) 926-4000 Telecopier (270) 683-6694

> 100 St. Ann Building PO Box 727 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727

Mark A. Bailey
Albert Yockey
Bob Berry
Adam Landry
William DePriest
Todd Hanssen
Jill A. Liska, Esq.

Service List PSC Case No. 2012-00063

Jennifer B. Hans, Esq.
Dennis G. Howard, II, Esq
Lawrence W. Cook, Esq.
Matt James, Esq.
Assistant Attorneys General
1024 Capitol Center Drive
Suite 200
Frankfort, KY 40601-8204

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. Kurt J. Boehm, Esq. Boehm, Kurtz and Lowry 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, OH 45202

David C. Brown, Esq. Stites & Harbison PLLC 1800 Providian Center 400 West Market Street Louisville, KY 40202

Joe Childers, Esq. Joe F. Childers & Associates 300 Lexington Building 201 West Short Street Lexington, Kentucky 40507

1 2	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY
3	RECEIVED
5	In the Matter of: MAY 30 2012
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Approval of its 2012 Environmental Compliance Plan, for Approval of its Amended Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge Tariff, for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, and for Authority to Establish a Regulatory Account PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Case No. 2012-00063
14 15 16 17 18	PETITION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION 1. Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") hereby petitions the Kentucky
20	Public Service Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7 and KRS
21	61.878, to grant confidential protection to documents Big Rivers is filing in response to a letter
22	dated May 11, 2012, from counsel for Intervenor Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers, Inc.
23	("KIUC"), to counsel for Big Rivers. The information Big Rivers is filing with this petition (the
24	"Confidential Information") in response to the KIUC letter is a CD from Sargent & Lundy, LLC
25	("S&L"), containing S&L's models and worksheets used in generating the capital and operation
26	and maintenance cost estimates used in the environmental compliance study S&L prepared for
27	Big Rivers.
28	2. One (1) copy of Confidential Information is being filed electronically on a CD
29	marked confidential, which is attached to this petition. Big Rivers is also filing ten (10) copies
30	of this petition with the Confidential Information redacted (i.e., without the CD). 807 KAR
31	5:001 Sections 7(2)(a)(2), 7(2)(b).

3. A copy of this petition with the Confidential Information redacted has been served on all parties to this proceeding. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(2)(c). Big Rivers is also providing a copy of the Confidential Information to all parties, as they have all signed a confidentiality agreement.

2.0

- The Confidential Information is not publicly available, is not disseminated within
 Big Rivers except to those employees and professionals with a legitimate business need to know
 and act upon the information, and is not disseminated to others without a legitimate need to
 know and act upon the information.
 - 5. If and to the extent the Confidential Information becomes generally available to the public, whether through filings required by other agencies or otherwise, Big Rivers will notify the Commission and have its confidential status removed. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 7(9)(a).
 - 6. As discussed below, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential protection based upon KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1), which protects "records confidentially disclosed to an agency or required by an agency to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as confidential or proprietary, which if openly disclosed would permit an unfair commercial advantage to competitors of the entity that disclosed the records." KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1).

I. Big Rivers Faces Actual Competition

7. Big Rivers competes in the wholesale power market to sell energy excess to its members' needs. Big Rivers' ability to successfully compete in the wholesale power market is dependent upon a combination of its ability to get the maximum price for the power sold, and keeping the cost of producing that power as low as possible. Fundamentally, if Big Rivers' cost of producing a kilowatt hour increases, its ability to sell that kilowatt hour in competition with

- 1 other utilities is adversely affected. As is well documented in multiple proceedings before this
- 2 Commission, Big Rivers' margins are derived almost exclusively from its off-system sales.
- 8. Big Rivers also competes for reasonably priced credit in the credit markets, and
- 4 its ability to compete is directly impacted by its financial results. Any event that adversely
- 5 affects Big Rivers' margins will adversely affect its financial results and potentially impact the
- 6 price it pays for credit. As was described in the proceeding before this Commission in the Big
- 7 Rivers unwind transaction case, Big Rivers expects to be in the credit markets on a regular basis
- 8 in the future.¹

II. The Confidential Information is Generally Recognized as Confidential or <u>Proprietary</u>

10 11

9

- 12 9. The Confidential Information for which Big Rivers seeks confidential treatment
- under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) is generally recognized as confidential or proprietary under Kentucky
- 14 law.
- 15 10. S&L considers the information proprietary and confidential. S&L does not
- typically provide this proprietary information even to its own clients, as S&L does not want the
- information disclosed publicly, or otherwise. It has only given Big Rivers permission to file the
- 18 CD in this proceeding if Big Rivers does so under a petition for confidential treatment, and Big
- 19 Rivers can only share the CD with other parties subject to confidentiality agreements.
- 20 11. Additionally, the CD contains a detailed budgetary proposal from a third party for
- 21 the design and supply of the Wet Flue Gas Desulfurization ("WFGD") project at Big Rivers'
- 22 D.B. Wilson station. The contractor considers this information confidential and has not given
- 23 Big Rivers permission to publicly release the information.

¹ See Order dated March 6, 2009, In the Matter of: Joint Application of Big Rivers, E.ON, LG&E Energy Marketing, Inc., and Western Kentucky Energy Corporation for Approval to Unwind Lease and Power Purchase Transactions, PSC Case No. 2007-00455, pages 27-30 and 37-39.

III. Disclosure of the Confidential Information Would Permit an Unfair Commercial Advantage to Big Rivers' Competitors

2 3 4

- 12. Disclosure of the Confidential Information would permit an unfair commercial advantage to Big Rivers' competitors. As discussed above, Big Rivers faces actual competition in the wholesale power market and in the credit market. It is likely that Big Rivers would suffer competitive injury if that Confidential Information was publicly disclosed.
- suppliers who consider the information includes information supplied to Big Rivers by suppliers who consider the information confidential and who have not given Big Rivers permission to publicly reveal the information. In Case No. 2003-00054, the Commission granted confidential protection for bids submitted to Union Light, Heat & Power ("ULH&P"). ULH&P argued, and the Commission implicitly accepted, that the bidding contractors would not want their bid information publicly disclosed, and that disclosure would reduce the contractor pool available to ULH&P, which would drive up ULH&P's costs, hurting its ability to compete with other gas suppliers. Order dated August 4, 2003, in *In the Matter of: Application of the Union Light, Heat and Power Company for Confidential Treatment*, PSC Case No. 2003-00054. Similarly, in *Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority*, the Kentucky Supreme Court found that without protection for confidential information provided to a public agency, "companies would be reluctant to apply for investment tax credits for fear the confidentiality of financial information would be compromised. *Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority*, Ky., 907 S.W.2d 766, 769 (1995).
 - 14. In Big Rivers' case, these suppliers do not want the information they provided to Big Rivers on a confidential basis to be publicly disclosed. Suppliers such as these rely on the confidentiality of their information, and if they believed that the Commission would deny confidential treatment for the confidential information they provide to Big Rivers, it is likely that

fewer suppliers would offer their services to Big Rivers. As such, public disclosure of the 1 Confidential Information would likely reduce the pool of suppliers willing to enter into 2 agreements with Big Rivers, resulting in increased prices for Big Rivers and impairing its ability 3 to compete in the wholesale power and credit markets. 4 5 Conclusion IV. Based on the foregoing, the Confidential Information is entitled to confidential 6 15. protection. If the Commission disagrees that Big Rivers is entitled to confidential protection, due 7 process requires the Commission to hold an evidentiary hearing. Utility Regulatory Com'n v. 8 Kentucky Water Service Co., Inc., 642 S.W.2d 591 (Ky. App. 1982). 9 WHEREFORE, Big Rivers respectfully requests that the Commission classify and protect 10 as confidential the Confidential Information. 11 On this the 29 rd day of May, 2012. 12 13 14 James M. Miller 15 16 Tyson Kamuf SULLIVAN, MOUNTJOY, STAINBACK 17 & MILLER, P.S.C. 18 19 100 St. Ann Street P. O. Box 727 20 21 Owensboro, Kentucky 42302-0727 22 (270) 926-4000 23 Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation 24